

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/055,981	01/28/2002	Julian Bowron	41554-0011	6718	
7590 12/30/2003			EXAMINER		
David J. Heller			HANSEN, JAMES ORVILLE		
c/o Ridout & M Suite 2400	aybee LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Queen Street East			3637		
Toronto, ON M5C 3B1 CANADA			DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/055,98	1	BOWRON, JULIA	<u> </u>				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	James O. I		3637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no eve bly within the statu will apply and will e, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t cation to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17.5	September 2	<u>003</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is no	n-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	n.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9 and 10 is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) 9 and 10 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-20</u> is/are rejected.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	D)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. ☐ See the attached detailed Office action for a lister a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language priority. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the Attachment(s).	nts have been the have been ority docume au (PCT Rule to fithe certific priority unrest sentence rovisional applic priority un	n received. n received in Application that have been received at 17.2(a)). ied copies not received at 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of the specification or plication has been received at 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National ed. e) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	l application) Data Sheet. a specific				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413) Panar Na/	e)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	<u>3</u> .	5) Notice of Informal Pa						

Application/Control Number: 10/055,981

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 9-10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper Nos. 5 & 7. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions must be independent and distinct as claimed, and that the inventions must constitute an undue burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because applicant did not submit evidence or identify such evidence that the species are obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informality: On page 1, the last line, the phrase "to draw attention the device" is not clear as presently worded. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2-8 & 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 2-8 & 12-20, the phrase "A kiosk" can be viewed as a new limitation in addition to the previously defined "A

•

, **

Kiosk" as previously defined in the independent claims [changing "A" to -The-- in the dependent claims would obviate the rejection]. In Claims 19-20, the phrases "A method of modifying" & "A method of constructing" [process] respectively, do not constitute a proper preambulary antecedent basis since the independent claims [claims 1 & 11] are directed towards a "kiosk" [article].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-8 & 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ramachandran et al., [U.S. Patent No. 5,483,047]. Ramachandran (figures 1-30) teaches of an automated kiosk (fig. 1) comprising: a cabinet (40 e.g.,); a face frame/door (18) hinged to an edge of the cabinet (such as a side edge of the cabinet e.g.,); a plurality of cross members (note fig. 10 the plate members used to secure hardware components, and note elements 190, 192, 194 & 210 just to name a few for mounting a component) secured to the frame/door; and a plurality of components i.e., monitor, keyboard, coin slots etc., that are secured to the members via conventional fasteners (screws or bolts) known in the art. The members are releasably secured to the frame/door via fasteners as readily apparent to the examiner. The components being sized such that they project inward into the cabinet when the frame/door is secured to the cabinet [note fig. 10 for example]. As to the placement and configuration of the members in relation to the frame/door, it is viewed that when

Application/Control Number: 10/055,981

Art Unit: 3637

· · · J

Page 4

conventionally known members are not functionally related in a new or unobvious way

to the structure upon which they are located, the orientation or configuration of the

members will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability.

As to claims 19-20, the methods of "modifying" and "constructing" the kiosk are not

germane to the issue of patentability of the kiosk itself. Therefore, these limitations

have not been given patentable weight.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Grossi et al., Simon et al., Frey et al., Trotta, Seymour,

Japanese publication 53-133159 and LaFleur describe automated kiosk structures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James O. Hansen whose telephone number is 703-

305-7414. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9326.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

2168.

James O. Hansen

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3637

JOH

December 12, 2003